## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

| IN RE: PARAGARD PRO<br>LIABILITY LITIGATION  |   |
|--|---|
| This document relates to:<br>KIMBERLY GOINES | : 1:20-md-02974-LMM<br>:  |
| vs.  | : Civil Action No   |
| TEVA PHARMACEUTIC<br>INC., ET AL.            | ALS USA, :  |
|  | SHORT FORM COMPLAINT  |
| Come(s) now the                              | Plaintiff(s) named below, and for her/their Complaint against th            |
| Defendant(s) named below                     | v, incorporate(s) the Second Amended Master Personal Injury Complain        |
| (Doc No. 79), in MDL No.                     | 2974 by reference. Plaintiff(s) further plead(s) as follows:                |
| 1. Name of Pl                                | aintiff placed with Paragard: <u>KIMBERLY GOINES</u>                        |
| 2. Name of Pl                                | aintiff's Spouse (if a party to the case): <u>N/A</u>                       |
| 3. If case is broad                          | ought in a representative capacity, Name of Other Plaintiff and capacity    |
| (i.e., admini                                | strator, executor, guardian, conservator): <u>N/A</u>                       |
| 4. State of Res                              | sidence of each Plaintiff (including any Plaintiff in a representative      |
| capacity) at                                 | time of filing of Plaintiff's original complaint: GEORGIA                   |
| 5. State of Res                              | sidence of each Plaintiff at the time of Paragard placement: <u>GEORGIA</u> |
| 6. State of Res                              | sidence of each Plaintiff at the time of Paragard removal: GEORGIA          |
| 7. District Cou                              | art and Division in which personal jurisdiction and venue would be proper   |
| United State                                 | es District Court for the Northern District of Georgia                      |

- 8. Defendants. (Check one or more of the following five (5) defendants against whom Plaintiff's Complaint is made. The following five (5) Defendants are the only Defendants against whom a Short Form Complaint may be filed. No other entity may be added as a Defendant in a Short Form Complaint.):
- ☐ A. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc.
- ☐ B. Teva Women's Health, LLC
- ☐ C. Teva Branded Pharmaceutical Products R&D, Inc.
- ☑ D. The Cooper Companies, Inc.
- ⊠ E. CooperSurgical, Inc.
- 9. Basis of Jurisdiction
- Diversity of Citizenship (28 U.S.C. § 1332(a))
- ☐ Other (if Other, identify below)

10.

| Date(s) Plaintiff | Placing Physicians(s) or other | Date Plaintiff's  | Removal Physician(s) |
|-------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| had Paragard      | Health Care Provider           | Paragard was      | or other Health Care |
| placed            | (include City and State)       | Removed           | Provided (include    |
| (DD/MM/YYYY)      |                                | (DD/MM/YYYY)      | City and State)**    |
|                   |                                | *                 | **If multiple        |
|                   |                                | *If multiple      | removal(s) or        |
|                   |                                | removals or       | attempted removal    |
|                   |                                | attempted removal | procedures, list     |
|                   |                                | procedures, list  | information          |
|                   |                                | date of each      | separately.          |
|                   |                                | separately.       |                      |
| On information    | Wellstar Atlanta Medical       | 6/15/2016         | Jewell Walker, NP    |
| and belief:       | Center                         |                   | Stone Mountain, GA   |
|                   | Atlanta, GA                    |                   |                      |
| 2/1/2007          |                                |                   |                      |
|                   |                                | 6/30/2016         | Jewell Walker, NP    |
|                   |                                |                   | Stone Mountain, GA   |

Plaintiff alleges breakage (other than thread or string breakage) of her Paragard upon

11.

|             | remov     | val.  |
|-------------|-----------|---|
| $\boxtimes$ | Yes<br>No |   |
| 12.         | Brief     | statement of injury(ies) Plaintiff is claiming:                                     |
|             | As a      | direct and proximate result of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff suffered and          |
|             | contin    | nues to suffer significant bodily and mental injuries, including but not limited to |
|             | pain a    | nd suffering, mental anguish, disfigurement, embarrassment, inconvenience, loss     |
|             | of ear    | nings, loss of reproductive health and past and potential future medical expenses.  |
|             |           |   |
|             | Plaint    | iff reserves her right to allege additional injuries and complications specific to  |
|             | her.      |   |
| 13.         | Produ     | act Identification:   |
|             | a.        | Lot Number of Paragard placed in Plaintiff (if now known): <u>Unknown</u>           |
|             | b.        | Did you obtain your Paragard from anyone other than the Healthcare                  |
|             |           | Provider who placed your Paragard:  |
|             |           | Yes<br>No   |
| 14.         | Coun      | ts in the Master Complaint brought by Plaintiff(s):                                 |
| $\boxtimes$ | Coun      | t I – Strict Liability / Design Defect  |
| $\boxtimes$ | Coun      | t II – Strict Liability / Failure to Warn   |
| $\boxtimes$ | Coun      | t III – Strict Liability / Manufacturing Defect                                     |
| $\boxtimes$ | Coun      | t IV – Negligence   |
| $\boxtimes$ | Coun      | t V – Negligence / Design and Manufacturing Defect                                  |

| $\boxtimes$                                       | Coun  | t VI – Negligence / Failure to Warn   |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| $\boxtimes$                                       | Count VII – Negligent Misrepresentation   |   |  |  |
| $\boxtimes$                                       | Count VIII – Breach of Express Warranty   |   |  |  |
| $\boxtimes$                                       | Coun  | t IX – Breach of Implied Warranty   |  |  |
| $\boxtimes$                                       | Count X – Violation of Consumer Protection Laws                                       |   |  |  |
| $\boxtimes$                                       | Count XI – Gross Negligence   |   |  |  |
| $\boxtimes$                                       | Count XII – Unjust Enrichment   |   |  |  |
| $\boxtimes$                                       | Count XIII – Punitive Damages   |   |  |  |
|   | Count XIV – Loss of Consortium  |   |  |  |
|   | Other Count(s) (Please state factual and legal basis for other claims not included in |   |  |  |
| the M   | Iaster Co   | omplaint below):  |  |  |
|   |   |   |  |  |
|   |   |   |  |  |
|   |   |   |  |  |
| 15. "Tolling/Fraudulent Concealment" allegations: |   | ing/Fraudulent Concealment" allegations:  |  |  |
|   | a.  | Is Plaintiff alleging "Tolling/Fraudulent Concealment"?                               |  |  |
|   |   | Yes<br>No   |  |  |
|   | b.  | If Plaintiff is alleging "tolling/fraudulent concealment" beyond the facts            |  |  |
|   |   | alleged in the Master Complaint, please state the facts and legal basis               |  |  |
|   |   | applicable to the Plaintiff in support of those allegations below:                    |  |  |
|   | <u>Prior</u>  | Prior to having the ParaGard IUD implanted, Plaintiff's healthcare providers told her |  |  |
| Para  |   | Gard IUD was effective, that she could get pregnant immediately after removal,        |  |  |

16.

| it should be 1                       | emoved within 10 years, and it might shorten or lengthen her menstrual      |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| cycle. She dic                       | l not realize that she might have a cause of action regarding the ParaGard  |
| IUD. She did                         | not know there was an issue with the ParaGard IUD. She contacted her        |
| lawyers after                        | learning she might have a claim.  |
|                                      |   |
| Count VII (F                         | Fraud & Deceit) and County VIII (Fraud by Omission) allegations:            |
| a. Is Pla                            | intiff bringing a claim under Count VII (Fraud & Deceit), Count VIII        |
| (Frau                                | d by Omission), and/or any other claim for fraud or misrepresentation?      |
| <ul><li>✓ Yes</li><li>☐ No</li></ul> |   |
| b. If Ye                             | es, the following information must be provided (in accordance with          |
| Fede                                 | ral Rule of Civil Procedure 8 and/or 9, and/or with pleading requirements   |
| appli                                | cable to Plaintiff's state law claims):                                     |
| i.                                   | The alleged statement(s) of material fact that Plaintiff alleges was false: |
|                                      | Paragard, a reversible form of birth control, was safe and effective.       |
|                                      | Paragard was safe and/or safer than other reversible birth control          |
|                                      | products on the market.   |
| ii.                                  | Who allegedly made the statement: <u>Defendants</u>                         |
| :::<br>111.                          | To whom the statement was allegedly made: Plaintiff and her                 |
|                                      | healthcare provider who implanted Paragard.                                 |
| iv.                                  | The date(s) on which the statement was made: <u>Defendants' statements</u>  |
|                                      | are within the Paragard label and marketing materials at all relevant       |

times prior to implantation.

## Case 1:23-mi-99999-UNA Document 948 Filed 03/29/23 Page 6 of 6

| 17.         | If Plaintiff is bringing any claim for manufacturing defect and alleging facts beyond    |  |
|-------------|--|--|
|             | those contained in the Master Complaint, the following information must be provided:     |  |
|             | a. What does Plaintiff allege is the manufacturing defect in her Paragard? N/A           |  |
| 18.         | Plaintiff's demand for the relief sought if different than what is alleged in the Master |  |
|             | Complaint: N/A   |  |
| 19.         | Jury Demand:   |  |
| $\boxtimes$ | Jury Trial is demanded as to all counts  |  |
|             | Jury Trial is NOT demanded as to any count   |  |
|             |  |  |
|             | /s/ Laura V. Yaeger Attorney(s) for Plaintiff  |  |
|             |  |  |

Address, phone number, email address and Bar information:

| Yaeger Law, PLLC           |
|----------------------------|
| P.O. Box 530338            |
| Saint Petersburg, FL 33747 |
| 727-202-5015               |
| laura@yourlegalcounsel.net |
| FL Bar# 101972             |
| TX Bar# 24011432           |